

AMERICANS *for* SOVEREIGNTY

A PROJECT OF COUNCIL FOR AMERICA



110th Congress, 2nd Session **SCORECARD 2008**

Who in the United States Congress supports American sovereignty? Who wants to give away America's sovereignty? The votes of Members of Congress tell the real story of what they are doing. Informing the public about their votes: That's the purpose of this Americans for Sovereignty 2008 Congressional Scorecard.



AMERICANS FOR SOVEREIGNTY
is a Project of
Council for America

1101 Pennsylvania Ave. SE
Suite 201
Washington, DC 20003
(202) 546-1119

INTRODUCTION

The Americans for Sovereignty 2008 Congressional Scorecard is the only rating of its kind. It is based upon a detailed survey of the roll call votes in the United States Senate and the United States House of Representatives in the 110th Congress, Second Session (2008). Out of the hundreds of votes, Americans for Sovereignty has selected nine votes in the U.S. Senate and ten votes in the U.S. House of Representatives.

Each vote impacts American sovereignty and lets you know how your Member of Congress actually votes. This is the only such Congressional scorecard on sovereignty votes of which AFS is aware. Once again this year, there are both a rating for each Member of Congress for 2008 and for each Member's lifetime score. This gives you the opportunity to judge for yourself where your Congressman and Senators stand.

In order to draw crucial distinctions between Congressmen and Senators, most unanimous and nearly unanimous votes cast in the Congress have not been included. Instead the focus of the survey is on crucial issues that required Congressmen or Senators to cast a vote either for or against American sovereignty and where there was some disagreement. In both the Senate and House, votes were chosen that deal with issues involving the United Nations and its efforts to assert authority over the United States. Other votes on the protection of American sovereignty involved developing more energy sources for the United States, procedural votes that impact American sovereignty, and funding missile defense.

The votes in the Senate and House are, of course, different, but the votes selected in each branch of Congress are those that show how the Senator or Congressman acts on issues of American sovereignty. Detailed explanations follow of the specific votes selected and why Americans for Sovereignty has included each vote in the Sovereignty Scorecard. The percentage ratings are based on the actual votes cast by each Member of Congress. A 100% score means that a Member of Congress has voted in support of American sovereignty on each of the votes chosen where he or she voted. A 0% means that person did not vote even once for the protection of American sovereignty. A vote in favor of American sovereignty is designated by a (+) and a vote against American sovereignty is designated by a (-).

Americans for Sovereignty shall continue to monitor and publicize the votes in the U.S. Congress so that the public will be aware of how Members of Congress actually vote. Actual votes show which Members of Congress are most responsible for either protecting or sacrificing American sovereignty. Please let your Congressman and Senators know what you think of their votes.



A Project of Council for America

Suite 201, 1101 Pennsylvania Ave., SE, Washington, DC 20003 ♦ (202) 546-1119

AMERICANS FOR SOVEREIGNTY
110TH Congress 2nd Session, House Vote Explanations

- 1. To Table the Appeal of the Ruling of the Chair, H.R. 5349 (Roll Call Number 53), February 13, 2008** This was a parliamentary maneuver by the liberal Democrats to stop a longer term renewal of the Protect America Act that allowed our intelligence agencies to keep track of foreign terrorists and other foreign enemies. A vote against tabling is a vote to protect American citizens. The motion to table passed by a vote of 222-196.

- 2. Motion to recommit with instructions, H.R. 5351 (Roll Call Number 83), February 27, 2008** HR 5351 would have reduced American energy production and done nothing to create more energy supply. The motion to recommit was made to point out how this bill would hurt the United States and American sovereignty. A vote for the motion to recommit is a vote for sensible energy policy and not what this bill contains. The motion to recommit failed by a vote of 197-222.

- 3. 2nd Amendment to H.R. 2642 (Roll Call Vote 329), May 15, 2008** This amendment would have put numerous restrictions on use of U.S. troops in Iraq, put more restrictions on getting intelligence from enemy combatants, and severely restricted U.S. access to Iraqi oil. A vote against this amendment is a vote to support our troops in Iraq. It passed 227-196.

- 4. H Res. 1213 (Roll Call Vote 340), May 21, 2008** This vote allowed the national defense authorization to come to the floor. The Republicans urged a vote against the rule so that they could also offer an energy amendment that the Democrats would not allow. A vote against the rule is a vote for more energy resources. The rule passed by a vote of 235-186.

- 5. H.AMDT.1050 to H.R. 5658 (Roll Call Vote 356), May 22, 2008** Congressman Trent Franks (R-AZ) offered this amendment to restore funds to the missile defense program. Funding had been cut in the bill. Missile defense is an important priority for our nation's defense since President Reagan first proposed it in the 1980s. A vote for the Franks amendment is a vote for continuing development of a missile defense for our nation's security. The amendment was defeated by a vote of 186-229.

- 6. H.Amdt.1061 to H.R. 5658 (Roll Call Vote 361), May 22, 2008** Congressman David Price (D-NC) offered an amendment to restrict whom the Department of Defense could use for interrogators of enemy combatants and others. This amendment would weaken the Department of Defense's ability to get information that could protect American armed forces. A vote against the amendment is a vote for protection of America's armed forces. The amendment passed by a vote of 240-168.

7. **H.Amdt.1062 to H.R. 5658 (Roll Call Vote 362), May 22, 2008** Congressman Rush Holt (D-NJ) offered an amendment that would require video taping or recording of all detainee interrogations done by any member of the U.S. armed forces or by any member of the Department of Defense. Such videotaping could result in a member of our armed forces being put at greater risk if such videotapes fell into the hands of our enemies. A vote against this amendment is a vote for sensible policy. The amendment passed by a vote of 218-192.

8. **H.Amdt.1114 to H.R. 5959 (Roll Call Vote 500), July 16 2008** Congressman Peter Hoekstra (R-MI) offered an amendment denying funds to any government agency that does not allow the use of such terms as “jihadist,” “jihad,” “Islamofascism,” and “Islamic terrorist.” We have to know who our enemies are in order to effectively oppose them. A vote for this amendment is a vote against foolish political correctness and for common sense. The amendment passed by a vote of 249-180.

9. **H.R. 6899 (Roll Call Vote 599), September 16, 2008** This bill was the completely misnamed “Comprehensive American Energy Security and Consumer Protection Act.” It neither provided for American energy security nor protected consumers. What it did do was further restrict drilling for oil and natural gas and put more restrictions on using oil shale of which the United States has a huge supply. A vote against this bill is a vote for American sovereignty and for more energy independence. The bill passed by a vote of 236-189.

10. **H R 2638 (Roll Call Vote 632), September 24, 2008** This was final passage for the continuing resolution that provided funding for the government including U.N. funding, foreign aid and funding for other international organizations. The liberal leadership of the House was unable to get its work done. As a result, this one bill was passed to fund many wasteful government programs. A vote against this bill is a vote for American sovereignty. The bill passed by a vote of 370-58.

In vote listings:

NV = not voting

The Speaker of the House (Nancy Pelosi) rarely votes on bills before the body.

Name	State	Dist.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		Lifetime
														Score
Tanner, John S.	TN	8th	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	20%	32%
Tauscher, Ellen O.	CA	10th	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	6%
Taylor, Gene	MS	4th	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	30%	66%
Terry, Lee	NE	2nd	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%	83%
Thompson, Bennie G.	MS	2nd	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	9%
Thompson, Mike	CA	1st	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	10%	3%
Thornberry, Mac	TX	13th	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%	79%
Tiahart, Todd	KS	4th	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	89%
Tiberi, Patrick J.	OH	12th	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%	85%
Tierney, John F.	MA	6th	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
Towns, Edolphus	NY	10th	NV	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	8%
Tsongas, Niki	MA	5th	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
Turner, Michael	OH	3rd	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%	68%
Udall, Mark	CO	2nd	-	-	-	-	NV	NV	NV	+	-	-	14%	6%
Udall, Tom	NM	3rd	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	5%
Upton, Fred	MI	6th	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	60%	67%
Van Hollen, Chris	MD	8th	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	4%
Velazquez, Nydia M.	NY	12th	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
Visclosky, Peter J.	IN	1st	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	8%
Walberg, Tim	MI	7th	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV	-	89%	84%
Walden, Greg	OR	2nd	+	+	+	+	NV	NV	NV	+	+	-	86%	74%
Walsh, James T.	NY	25th	+	+	-	+	NV	NV	NV	+	+	-	71%	53%
Walz, Tim	MN	1st	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
Wamp, Zach	TN	3rd	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%	91%
Wasserman Schultz, Debbie	FL	20th	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
Waters, Maxine	CA	35th	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
Watson, Diane E.	CA	33th	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	NV	11%	2%
Watt, Melvin L.	NC	12th	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2%
Waxman, Henry A.	CA	30th	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
Weiner, Anthony D.	NY	9th	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	10%	3%
Welch, Peter	VT	al	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
Weldon, Dave	FL	15th	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	89%
Weller, Jerry	IL	11th	+	+	+	+	+	NV	NV	+	+	+	100%	71%
Westmoreland, Lynn A.	GA	8th	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%	91%
Wexler, Robert	FL	19th	-	-	-	NV	NV	NV	NV	-	-	-	0%	3%
Whitfield, Ed	KY	1st	+	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+	-	89%	77%
Wilson, Charlie	OH	6th	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	10%	5%
Wilson, Heather	NM	1st	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%	63%
Wilson, Joe	SC	2nd	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%	93%
Wittman, Rob	VA	1st	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%	90%
Wolf, Frank R.	VA	10th	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	80%	50%
Woolsey, Lynn C.	CA	6th	-	NV	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	22%	3%
Wu, David	OR	1st	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	6%
Wynn, Albert Russell	MD	4th	-	-	-	-	NV	-	-	-	-	-	0%	6%
Yarmuth, John	KY	3rd	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
Young, C. W. Bill	FL	10th	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	80%	74%
Young, Don	AK	al	+	+	+	+	NV	NV	NV	+	+	-	86%	69%

AMERICANS FOR SOVEREIGNTY
110TH Congress, 2ND Session, Senate Vote Explanations

- 1. S.2248 (Roll Call Vote 20), February 12, 2008** This bill amended the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 to better combat our present enemies including terrorists. Among other things, it increases our electronic surveillance capabilities, enabling us to monitor and target persons outside the United States who are planning to attack the U.S. or American citizens. A vote for this bill is a vote to protect American sovereignty. It passed by a vote of 68-29.

- 2. Conference Report on H.R.2082 (Roll Call Vote 22), February 13, 2008** The Intelligence Authorization Act of 2008 Conference report included language that was not voted on by the Senate or the House to further restrict interrogation of foreign terrorists. It also mandated numerous new reports that would require intelligence agencies to spend more time filing paperwork and less time tracking our enemies. A vote against the Conference Report is a vote to keep America stronger. The Conference Report passed vote 51-45.

- 3. Motion to Table S.Amdt.4309 to S. Con. Res.70, (Roll Call Vote 69), March 13, 2008** Senator David Vitter (R-LA) offered an amendment to stop federal funding of so-called sanctuary cities that assist illegal aliens and do not provide information or assistance to Federal immigration officials. These cities' protection of illegal immigrants makes it more difficult to control our borders and stop illegal immigration. The motion to table is a backhanded way to defeat the amendment. A vote against the motion is a vote for American sovereignty. The motion to table passed by a vote of 58-40.

- 4. S.Amdt.4380 to S. Con. Res.70 (Roll Call Vote 79), March 13, 2008** Senator Jim DeMint (R-SC) offered an amendment to take \$2 million in Federal funds from Berkley, CA and give the funds to the Marine Corps. The City Council of Berkley passed resolutions telling the Marine Corps that they were "unwelcome intruders" and should not recruit in Berkley and encouraged protests against Marine Corps recruiters. A vote for the amendment is a vote for standing up for our brave men and women in the Armed Forces. The amendment failed by a vote of 41-57.

- 5. Cloture Vote on S.Amdt.4825 to S.3036 (Roll Call Vote 145), June 6, 2008** Senator Harry Reid (D-NV) tried to stop debate on a climate warming bill that would have placed restrictions on the U.S. usage of fuels while not placing the same restrictions on other countries like China. A vote for cloture is voting for further

weakening of American sovereignty. The cloture motion failed by a vote of 48-36 (60 votes are required for cloture).

- 6. H.R.5501 (Roll Call Vote 182), July 16, 2009** This bill authorized \$50 billion in further foreign aid. The bill was offered in such a way that amendments providing oversight of the United Nations and ways to offset the cost of the bill were not allowed. A vote against the bill is a vote for more responsibility in foreign aid. The bill passed 80-16.
- 7. Cloture Motion on S.3297 (Roll Call Vote 189), July 28, 2008** Senator Harry Reid (D-NV) moved to stop debate and go to a vote on this bill that was called an “omnibus earmark bill.” It would have provided \$24 million more for the United Nations, \$5 million for a foreign museum, and numerous other earmarks. A vote against cloture is a vote against giving the U.N. even more money and a vote for common sense. The cloture motion failed by a vote of 52-40 (60 votes are required for cloture).
- 8. S.Amdt.5280 to S. 3001 (Roll Call Vote 198), September 10, 2008** Senator David Vitter (R-LA) offered this amendment to restore part of the money that the Democrats cut for missile defense. Missile defense is even more important for our nation’s security now than when President Reagan first proposed it. A vote for the amendment increases American security. The amendment failed by a vote of 39-57.
- 9. H.R. 2638 Roll Call Vote 208 September 30, 2008** Since the Congress was unable to finish its appropriations bill, a continuing resolution was used to spend taxpayers’ money to pay for foreign aid, U.N. funding, funding of other international organizations and more. A vote against this continuing resolution to fund the government is a vote against wasteful spending and against U.N. funding. The bill passed 78-12.

Name	State	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	2008 Score	Lifetime Score
Akaka, Daniel	HI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	14%
Alexander, Lamar	TN	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	89%	86%
Allard, Wayne	CO	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV	+	+	100%	98%
Barrasso, John	WY	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	89%	88%
Baucus, Max	MT	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	23%
Bayh, Evan	IN	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	33%	37%
Bennett, Robert	UT	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	56%	74%
Biden, Jr., Joseph R.	DE	-	-	-	-	NV	-	-	NV	NV	0%	10%
Bingaman, Jeff	NM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	8%
Bond, Christopher	MO	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	78%	90%
Boxer, Barbara	CA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV	0%	6%
Brown, Sherrod	OH	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	11%	11%
Brownback, Sam	KS	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	78%	83%
Bunning, Jim	KY	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	80%
Burr, Richard	NC	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	*	88%	81%
Byrd, Robert	WV	-	-	NV	NV	NV	-	-	-	-	0%	28%
Cantwell, Maria	WA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	12%
Cardin, Benjamin L.	MD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
Carper, Thomas	DE	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	30%
Casey, Robert P., Jr.	PA	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	5%
Chambliss, Saxby	GA	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	78%	88%
Clinton, Hillary Rodham	NY	NV	NV	-	-	NV	-	-	-	NV	0%	20%
Coburn, Tom	OK	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	89%	93%
Cochran, Thad	MS	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	67%	83%
Coleman, Norm	MN	+	+	+	+	NV	-	-	+	-	63%	66%
Collins, Susan M.	ME	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	22%	57%
Conrad, Kent	ND	+	-	-	+	NV	-	-	-	-	25%	23%
Corker, Bob	TN	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	67%	68%
Cornyn, John	TX	+	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	-	88%	91%
Craig, Larry E.	ID	+	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	-	88%	78%
Crapo, Michael	ID	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	91%
DeMint, Jim	SC	+	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+	100%	94%
Dodd, Christopher J.	CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	10%
Dole, Elizabeth	NC	+	+	-	+	-	-	NV	+	-	50%	80%
Domenici, Pete V.	NM	+	+	+	NV	+	-	+	+	-	75%	79%
Dorgan, Byron L.	ND	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	11%	30%
Durbin, Richard	IL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	6%
Ensign, John	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV	+	+	100%	92%
Enzi, Michael B.	WY	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	78%	92%
Feingold, Russell D.	WI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	11%	10%
Feinstein, Dianne	CA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV	0%	11%
Graham, Lindsey	SC	NV	NV	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+	100%	76%
Grassley, Chuck	IA	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	78%	88%
Gregg, Judd	NH	+	+	+	+	NV	+	+	-	-	75%	81%
Hagel, Chuck	NE	+	-	-	-	+	-	NV	+	-	38%	65%
Harkin, Tom	IA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	10%
Hatch, Orrin G.	UT	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	78%	85%
Hutchison, Kay	TX	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	89%	85%
Inhofe, James	OK	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	89%	94%
Inouye, Daniel	HI	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	19%
Isakson, Johnny	GA	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	78%	85%

Name	State	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	2008 Score	Lifetime Score
Johnson, Tim	SD	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	22%	18%
Kennedy, Edward	MA	-	-	-	-	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	0%	7%
Kerry, John	MA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	11%
Klobuchar, Amy	MN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
Kohl, Herb	WI	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	9%
Kyl, John	AZ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	85%
Landrieu, Mary	LA	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	56%	48%
Lautenberg, Frank	NJ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	7%
Leahy, Patrick	VT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	6%
Levin, Carl	MI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	10%
Lieberman, Joseph	CT	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22%	20%
Lincoln, Blanche	AR	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	28%
Lugar, Richard	IN	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	44%	66%
Martinez, Mel	FL	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	67%	63%
McCain, John	AZ	+	+	NV	+	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	100%	76%
McCaskill, Claire	MO	+	NV	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV	14%	29%
McConnell, Mitch	KY	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	78%	87%
Menendez, Robert	NJ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
Mikulski, Barbara	MD	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	12%
Murkowski, Lisa	AK	+	+	-	-	NV	-	+	-	-	38%	74%
Murray, Patty	WA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV	0%	5%
Nelson, Ben	NE	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	42%
Nelson, Bill	FL	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22%	31%
Obama, Barack	IL	NV	NV	-	-	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	0%	7%
Pryor, Mark	AR	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	21%
Reed, Jack	RI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	4%
Reid, Harry	NV	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	11%
Roberts, Pat	KS	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	78%	88%
Rockefeller, John	WV	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	18%
Salazar, Ken	CO	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	15%
Sanders, Bernard	VT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3%
Schumer, Charles	NY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	12%
Sessions, Jeff	AL	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	89%	94%
Shelby, Richard	AL	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	89%	88%
Smith, Gordon	OR	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	33%	67%
Snowe, Olympia	ME	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	33%	55%
Specter, Arlen	PA	+	+	-	-	NV	-	+	+	-	50%	57%
Stabenow, Debbie	MI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	15%
Stevens, Ted	AK	+	+	-	-	NV	-	+	-	-	38%	72%
Sununu, John	NH	+	+	+	+	-	-	NV	-	-	50%	77%
Tester, Jon	MT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	21%
Thune, John	SD	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	78%	80%
Vitter, David	LA	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	89%	86%
Voinovich, George	OH	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	56%	66%
Warner, John	VA	+	+	+	-	-	NV	-	-	-	38%	70%
Webb, James	VA	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	11%
Whitehouse, Sheldon	RI	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	5%
Wicker, Roger	MS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	89%	78%
Wyden, Ron	OR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	10%



AMERICANS FOR SOVEREIGNTY IS A PROJECT OF COUNCIL FOR AMERICA
1101 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. SE, SUITE 201, WASHINGTON, DC 20003 • (202) 546-1119